

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 01/05/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 2678	
10/616,722	07/10/2003	Si Bum Kim	29936/39463		
4743 75	90 01/05/2006	EXAMINER			
	GERSTEIN & BORUN	KENNEDY, JENNIFER M			
	R DRIVE, SUITE 6300				
SEARS TOWE	R	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
CHICAGO, IL 60606			2812		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/616,7	722	KIM, SI BUM			
		Examine	r	Art Unit			
			M. Kennedy	2812			
Period for Re	e MAILING DATE of this communication ply	appears on th	e cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ddress		
WHICHE - Extensions after SIX (i - If NO perio - Failure to i Any reply i	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RIVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF () MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory preply within the set or extended period for reply will, by specieved by the Office later than three months after the rent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF T R 1.136(a). In no e n. priod will apply and v tatute, cause the ap	HIS COMMUNICATION vent, however, may a reply be tirr vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from plication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,		
Status							
2a)∐ Thi 3)∐ Sin	ponsive to communication(s) filed on g s action is FINAL. 2b) 2b ce this application is in condition for all red in accordance with the practice und	This action is owance excep	t for formal matters, pro		e merits is		
Disposition (of Claims						
4a) 5)	·	drawn from co					
10)□ The App Rep	specification is objected to by the Exar drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) icant may not request that any objection to accement drawing sheet(s) including the co oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b the drawing(s) rrection is requi	be held in abeyance. See red if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 Cl	• •		
	·	Z Examinor. 14	ote the attached Office	Addon di lomini	10-132.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. △ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
2) Notice of D 3) Information	eferences Cited (PTO-892) raftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te	O-152)		

Art Unit: 2812

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on October 31, 2005 has been entered.

Response to Amendment

In view of Applicant's cancellation of claim 1-7, the rejections of claims under 35 U.S.C. 102 or 103 are rendered moot.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 8-13, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicants' admitted prior art (AAPA, see Figures 1 and 2 and pages 1-3 of the specification) in view of Ho et al. (U.S. Patent Appl. 2002/0115283), Zhu et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,402,592) and Chou et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,706,166).

Art Unit: 2812

In re claim 8, AAPA discloses a method of forming a copper wiring in a semiconductor device the method comprising:

forming damascene patterns (12) in an interlayer insulating film which is formed on a substrate;

sequentially forming a copper barrier metal layer (13) and a copper seed layer (14) on the surface of the interlayer insulating film including the damascene patterns; performing a copper electroplating process (see Specification, page 2, lines 17-

21) to be filled the damascene patterns with a copper layer; and

polishing the copper barrier metal layer by means of a chemical mechanical polishing process until the surface of the interlayer insulting film is exposed patterns (see specification, page 2, lines 22-25).

The AAPA does not disclose the method of polishing the copper layer and the copper seed layer by means of a copper electro-polishing process until the copper barrier metal layer is exposed, thereby forming copper wirings within the damascene pattern.

Ho et al. disclose the method of polishing a copper layer by means of a copper electro-polishing process until the copper barrier metal layer is exposed, thereby forming copper wirings within the damascene pattern; and polishing thereafter by means of a chemical mechanical polishing process so that the surface of the interlayer insulating film is exposed, thereby forming copper wirings within the damascene patterns (see [0036]-[0039]).

Art Unit: 2812

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to planarize the copper layer by means of a copper electropolishing process; and polishing thereafter by means of a chemical mechanical polishing process because as Ho et al. teaches it prevents the problems of defects caused by mechanical scratches, chemical corrosion, and oxidation of components (see [0025] and [0014])

The examiner notes that in the combined AAPA and Ho et al. process, the copper layer of Ho et al. would include the copper seed layer and the bulk copper layer of AAPA, and thus both the copper seed layer and the copper layer, would be electropolished.

The AAPA nor Ho et al. disclose the method wherein the electro-polishing process is automatically stopped when the copper barrier metal layer is exposed.

Zhu et al. discloses the method wherein the electro-polishing process is automatically stopped when the copper barrier metal layer is exposed (see column 5, lines 20-45). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize a elector-polishing detection system such that the elector-polishing process is automatically stopped when the copper barrier metal layer because as Zhu et al. teaches the method allows for a smooth surface and prevent dishing and erosion (see Zhu et al. abstract and column 5, lines 55-65).

Art Unit: 2812

The AAPA Ho et al., nor Zhu et al. disclose the method of utilizing a single apparatus to perform the electroplating and electro polishing by changing the negative power supply to a positive power supply. Chou et al. discloses utilizing a single apparatus to perform an electroplating process and an electro polishing process by changing the negative power supply to a positive power supply (see column 2 lines 43 through column 3, lines 12). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize a single apparatus to perform both the electroplating and electro polishing in order to reduce capital costs and risk of contamination from transfer of the wafer from one apparatus to another

In re claim 9, AAPA disclose the method wherein the copper barrier metal layer is formed using one of ionized PVD TiN, CVD TiN, MOCVD TiN, ionized PVD Ta, ionized PVD TaN, CVD Ta, CVD TaN and CVD WN (see page 2, lines 10-15).

In re claim 10, AAPA disclose the method wherein the copper seed layer is formed using an ionized PVD method (see page 2, lines 10-15).

In re claim 11 and 12, AAPA disclose the method as claimed in claim 1, wherein the copper electroplating process comprises: loading the substrate on which the copper seed layer is formed onto an electroplating apparatus in which a copper plating solution including an organic accelerator an organic suppressor and an organic leveler are added and setting a plating target range so that the damascene patterns could be sufficiently filled; and applying the negative (-) power supply with current to the substrate (see Specification, page 2, lines 22-25, and page 3, lines 18-20 and Figures 1 and 2).

Art Unit: 2812

The AAPA does not disclose the negative power supply has a current in the range of 1 to 5 A. The examiner notes that Applicant does not teach that the current range solves any stated problem or is for any particular purpose. Therefore, the current range lacks criticality in the claimed invention and does not produce unexpected or novel results. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to perform the electroplating at a current range of 1-5 A, since the invention would perform equally well with a different current range than the claimed range as long as it is sufficient to allow for electroplating and because it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233, MPEP 2144.05 II A.

In re claim 13 the combined AAPA and Ho et al. disclose the method setting a target polishing thickness equal to a thickness of the copper layer (see Ho et al., [0037]) 3d and 3e) and the method wherein a positive (+) power supply is applied to the wafer for electro-polishing (see [0037] anode).

AAPA and Ho et al. do not disclose the method wherein during the electropolishing a current in the range of 1 - 30A is applied to the wafer. The examiner notes
that Applicant does not teach that the current range or the target range solve any stated
problem or are for any particular purpose. Therefore, the current range and the target
range lack criticality in the claimed invention and do not produce unexpected or novel
results. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time

Art Unit: 2812

the invention was made to perform the electro-polishing at a current range of 1-30 A, since the invention would perform equally well with a different current range than the claimed range as long as it is sufficient to allow for electro-polishing and because it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233, MPEP 2144.05 II A. Further, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to set the target range similar to a target plating range for forming the copper layer so that the same target and equipment may be used for both the electroplating and the electro-polishing and since the invention would perform equally well with a different target range than the claimed range as long as it is sufficient to allow for electro-polishing because it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233, MPEP 2144.05 II A.

In re claim 15, Zhu et al. discloses the method further comprising detecting that the copper barrier metal layer has been exposed by the electro-polishing process based on detecting an electrical resistance of the copper barrier metal layer (see column 5, lines 20-45).

Claims 8-13, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicants' admitted prior art (AAPA, see Figures 1 and 2 and pages 1-3 of the

Art Unit: 2812

specification) in view of Ho et al. (U.S. Patent Appl. 2002/0115283), Zhu et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,402,592) and Talieh (U.S. Patent No. 6,176,992).

In re claim 8, AAPA discloses a method of forming a copper wiring in a semiconductor device the method comprising:

forming damascene patterns (12) in an interlayer insulating film which is formed on a substrate:

sequentially forming a copper barrier metal layer (13) and a copper seed layer (14) on the surface of the interlayer insulating film including the damascene patterns; performing a copper electroplating process (see Specification, page 2, lines 17-

polishing the copper barrier metal layer by means of a chemical mechanical polishing process until the surface of the interlayer insulting film is exposed patterns (see specification, page 2, lines 22-25).

21) to be filled the damascene patterns with a copper layer; and

The AAPA does not disclose the method of polishing the copper layer and the copper seed layer by means of a copper electro-polishing process until the copper barrier metal layer is exposed, thereby forming copper wirings within the damascene pattern.

Ho et al. disclose the method of polishing a copper layer by means of a copper electro-polishing process until the copper barrier metal layer is exposed, thereby forming copper wirings within the damascene pattern; and polishing thereafter by means of a chemical mechanical polishing process so that the surface of the interlayer

Art Unit: 2812

insulating film is exposed, thereby forming copper wirings within the damascene patterns (see [0036]-[0039]).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to planarize the copper layer by means of a copper electropolishing process; and polishing thereafter by means of a chemical mechanical polishing process because as Ho et al. teaches it prevents the problems of defects caused by mechanical scratches, chemical corrosion, and oxidation of components (see [0025] and [0014])

The examiner notes that in the combined AAPA and Ho et al. process, the copper layer of Ho et al. would include the copper seed layer and the bulk copper layer of AAPA, and thus both the copper seed layer and the copper layer, would be electropolished.

Zhu et al. discloses the method wherein the electro-polishing process is automatically stopped when the copper barrier metal layer is exposed (see column 5, lines 20-45). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize a elector-polishing detection system such that the elector-polishing process is automatically stopped when the copper barrier metal layer because as Zhu et al. teaches the method allows for a smooth surface and prevent dishing and erosion (see Zhu et al. abstract and column 5, lines 55-65).

Art Unit: 2812

The AAPA Ho et al., nor Zhu et al. disclose the method of utilizing a single apparatus to perform the electroplating and electro polishing by changing the negative power supply to a positive power supply. Talieh discloses utilizing a single apparatus to perform an electroplating process and an electro polishing process by changing the negative power supply to a positive power supply (see column 4 lines 60 through column 5, lines 32). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize a single apparatus to perform both the electroplating and electro polishing in order to reduce capital costs and risk of contamination from transfer of the wafer from one apparatus to another.

In re claim 9, AAPA disclose the method wherein the copper barrier metal layer is formed using one of ionized PVD TiN, CVD TiN, MOCVD TiN, ionized PVD Ta, ionized PVD TaN, CVD Ta, CVD TaN and CVD WN (see page 2, lines 10-15).

In re claim 10, AAPA disclose the method wherein the copper seed layer is formed using an ionized PVD method (see page 2, lines 10-15).

In re claim 11 and 12, AAPA disclose the method as claimed in claim 1, wherein the copper electroplating process comprises: loading the substrate on which the copper seed layer is formed onto an electroplating apparatus in which a copper plating solution including an organic accelerator an organic suppressor and an organic leveler are added and setting a plating target range so that the damascene patterns could be sufficiently filled; and applying the negative (-) power supply with current to the substrate (see Specification, page 2, lines 22-25, and page 3, lines 18-20 and Figures 1 and 2).

Art Unit: 2812

The AAPA does not disclose the negative power supply has a current in the range of 1 to 5 A. The examiner notes that Applicant does not teach that the current range solves any stated problem or is for any particular purpose. Therefore, the current range lacks criticality in the claimed invention and does not produce unexpected or novel results. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to perform the electroplating at a current range of 1-5 A, since the invention would perform equally well with a different current range than the claimed range as long as it is sufficient to allow for electroplating and because it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233, MPEP 2144.05 II A.

In re claim 13 the combined AAPA and Ho et al. disclose the method setting a target polishing thickness equal to a thickness of the copper layer (see Ho et al., [0037]) 3d and 3e) and the method wherein a positive (+) power supply is applied to the wafer for electro-polishing (see [0037] anode).

AAPA and Ho et al. do not disclose the method wherein during the electropolishing a current in the range of 1 - 30A is applied to the wafer. The examiner notes
that Applicant does not teach that the current range or the target range solve any stated
problem or are for any particular purpose. Therefore, the current range and the target
range lack criticality in the claimed invention and do not produce unexpected or novel
results. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time

the invention was made to perform the electro-polishing at a current range of 1-30 A, since the invention would perform equally well with a different current range than the claimed range as long as it is sufficient to allow for electro-polishing and because it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233, MPEP 2144.05 II A. Further, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to set the target range similar to a target plating range for forming the copper layer so that the same target and equipment may be used for both the electroplating and the electro-polishing and since the invention would perform equally well with a different target range than the claimed range as long as it is sufficient to allow for electro-polishing because it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233, MPEP 2144.05 II A.

In re claim 15, Zhu et al. discloses the method further comprising detecting that the copper barrier metal layer has been exposed by the electro-polishing process based on detecting an electrical resistance of the copper barrier metal layer (see column 5, lines 20-45).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 8-13 and 15 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 2812

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jennifer M. Kennedy whose telephone number is (571) 272-1672. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael S. Lebentritt can be reached on (571) 272-1873. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jennt M. Kérhe Primary Examiner Art Unit 2812

jmk